

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,308	01/27/2004	Kas Kasravi	57391/9	1219	
35744 7590 05/18/2007 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER		
			SAINT CYR, LEONARD		
	1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/766,308	KASRAVI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leonard Saint-Cyr	2626			
D!I 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period fo	•					
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· 				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application		,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	· · ·				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ap	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National Stage			
* (application from the International Burea	` ' ' '				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not i	received.			
Attachmer	• •	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Gillis (US Patent 6,523,026).

As per claims 1, 23, 26, Gillis teaches comparing semantic content of two or more documents, comprising:

accessing two or more documents ("source and target domains"); performing a linguistic analysis on each document ("computing a set of vectors"; col.10, lines 9 - 17); col.11, lines 36 - 40);

outputting a quantified representation of the semantic content of each document ("semantic vectors"; col.39, lines 14 – 20); and

comparing the quantified representations using a defined algorithm ("summary vectors to be compared"; col.39, line 19, and 20; col.42, lines 2, and 3).

As per claim 2, Gillis further discloses that the linguistic analysis comprises sentence analysis ("sentence in the individual documents"; col.43, lines 43 – 46).

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 3, Gillis further discloses that the sentence analysis comprises a syntactic analysis ("preferred stop list word include in the vectorization") and a semantic analysis ("semantic similarity"; col.39, lines 14 - 20; col.35, lines 4 - 6).

As per claim 4, Gillis further discloses that the quantified representation of a semantic content is a semantic vector ("semantic vectors"; col.39, lines 14 – 20).

As per claim 5, Gillis further discloses that the semantic vector can have multiple components (col.39, line 63 –col.40, line 1).

As per claim 6, Gillis further discloses that each component can have multiple dimensions ("n dimensional space"; col.39, line 63 –col.40, line 1).

As per claim 7, Gillis further discloses that each component of the semantic vector includes one or more text values ("vector values, representing the natural term"; col.36, lines 34 – 36).

As per claim 8, Gillis further discloses that each text value can have one or more numerical values associated with it ("vector values, representing the natural term"; col.36, lines 34 - 36).

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 9, Gillis further discloses that each component of the semantic vector has three values:

a word or phrase appearing in the document or a synonym of said word or phrase (col.11, line 8);

a weighting factor associated with said word or phrase or synonym; and a frequency value ("frequency related weightings to terms in the computation of summary vectors"; col.41, lines 40 - 43).

As per claim 10, Gillis further discloses that each component of the semantic vector has two values: a word or phrase appearing in the document or a synonym of said word or phrase (col.11, line 8); and

a weighting factor associated with that word or phrase ("frequency related weightings to terms in the computation of summary vectors"; col.41, lines 40 – 43).

As per claim 11, Gillis further discloses that the semantic vector is a multidimensional vector defined by the content of a semantic net ("n dimensional semantic space"; col.39, line 63 – col.40, line 1).

As per claim 12, Gillis further discloses that the content of the semantic net is augmented by relative weights, strengths, or frequencies of occurrence of the features within the semantic net ("frequency related weightings to term in the computation of summary vectors"; col.41, lines 40 - 46).

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 13, Gillis further discloses that the output of said defined algorithm is a measure of at least one of semantic distance, semantic similarity, semantic dissimilarity, degree of patentable novelty and degree of anticipation ("semantic similarity"; col.4, lines 1-3).

As per claim 14, 24, 27, Gillis teaches comparing two or more documents, comprising:

linguistically analyzing two or more documents ("computing a set of vectors"; col.10, lines 9 - 17); col.11, lines 36 - 40);

generating a semantic vector associated with each document ("semantic vectors"; col.39, lines 14 - 20); and

comparing the semantic vectors using a defined metric ("summary vectors to be compared"; col.39, line 19, and 20; col.42, lines 2, and 3).

As per claim 15, 25, 32, Gillis further discloses that the defined metric is one of: Sqrt (f12+f22+f32+f42++f(N-1)2fN2) n * 100, wherein f is a difference in frequency of a common term between two documents and n is the number of terms those documents have in common; or Sqrt(sum((w-Delta)A2*w-Avg))/(Log(n)A3*1000), wherein w-Delta is the difference in weight between two common terms, w-Avg is the average weight between two common terms, and n is the number of common terms,

Art Unit: 2626

between two documents (" semantic distance between two domains using Euclidean distance formula; col.56, lines 31 - 52).

As per claim 16, Gillis further discloses that a common term between two documents includes two terms that are synonyms (col.11, line 8).

As per claims 17, 28, Gillis further discloses that one or more of said two or more documents are located using an autonomous software or 'bot program ("software programs"; col.10, lines 9 - 17; col.25, lines 57 - 67).

As per claims 18, and 29, Gillis further discloses automatically analyzes each document in a defined domain (source and target domains) or network by executing a series of rules and assigning an overall score to the document ("average of component values"; col.10, lines 9 – 17; col.41, line 66 –col.42, line 25).

As per claim 19, Gillis further discloses that all documents with a score above a defined threshold are linguistically analyzed ("generate term vectors and accept only records that match all the categories beyond some minimum threshold"; col.46, line 65 – col.47, line 11).

As per claims 20, and 30, Gillis further discloses that the semantic vector is a quantification of the semantic content of each document ("semantic vectors"; col.39, lines 14 - 20).

As per claim 21, Gillis further discloses that the semantic vector can have multiple components, and each component can have multiple dimensions ("n dimensional semantic space"; col.39, line 63 – col.40, line 1).

As per claim 22, Gillis further discloses that each component of the semantic vector has a word or phrase appearing in the document or a synonym of said word or phrase (col.11, line 8);

a weighting factor associated with said word or phrase or synonym; and a frequency value ("frequency related weightings to terms in the computation of summary vectors"; col.41, lines 40 - 43).

As per claim 31, Gillis further discloses that the output of said defined algorithm is a measure of at least one of semantic distance, semantic similarity, semantic dissimilarity, degree of patentable novelty and degree of anticipation ("semantic similarity"; col.4, lines 1-3).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cox et al., (US Patent 6,996,575) teach a computer-implemented system and method for text-based document processing.

Sommer et al., (US Patent 6,847,966) teach a method and system for optimally searching a document database using a representative semantic space.

Bradford et al., (US Patent 7,113,943) teach a method for document comparison and selection.

Corey et al., (US Patent 5,987,446) teach searching large collections of text using multiple search engines concurrently.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard Saint-Cyr whose telephone number is (571) 272-4247. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,308 Page 9

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LS 05/10/07

RICHEMOND DORVIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER